



POL Non-City Entity Camera (without financial agreement) Annual Surveillance Report 2024

Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required.


Change In Authorized Use Cases ▾


 1.1 In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your “approved use cases” in your BOS-approved policy?
No


Change in Authorized Job Titles ▾


 2.1 Does the list of “authorized job titles” in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?)
No

Change in Number and/or Type of Technology ▾

 Replacement of Old Technology
4.1 Has any technology listed in the policy been replaced?
No

 Addition of New Technology
5.1 Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy?
No

 Ceased Operation of Technology
6.1 Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use?
No

 Services or Equipment Sources
7.1 List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list “N/A” if not applicable): *
N/A since these are residential, small business and commercial security camera systems.

Surveillance Technology Goals ▾

**8.1 Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?**

Yes

8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective

The live monitoring access from non-city entities or individuals has helped lead to arrests for assault, theft/shoplifting, narcotics, warrants, and resisting, delaying, or obstructing peace officer duties. Live monitoring operations took place during officers' regular deployments. There were also no additional costs incurred by the SFPD for the use of non-city cameras.

Specifically, between Q4 of 2023 (beginning October 1, 2023) and Q2 2024 (ending June 30, 2024) live monitoring took place over the span of 389 hours 7 mins (though actual monitoring was not continuous) which aided the following arrests:

58 theft/shoplifting

54 narcotics

9 assaults

6 warrants

2 robberies

2 resisting, delaying or obstructing police officer duties

Data Sharing ▾**9.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?**

Yes

9.2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county government?

Yes

9.3 List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.

The department shared video from the use of non-city entity cameras during live-monitoring operations with the San Francisco District Attorney's Office for use as evidence to aid in prosecution, in accordance with the CA Penal Code, CA Evidence Code, department retention guidelines, and state and federal law.

9.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?

Yes


9.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.

The department shared video from the use of non-city entity cameras during live-monitoring operations with law enforcement partners in other jurisdictions for use as evidence to aid in prosecution, in accordance with the CA Penal Code, CA Evidence Code, department retention guidelines, and state and federal law.

Accidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data ▾**10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?**


No

Complaints ▾



11.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveillance technology?
No


Violations ▾



12.1 Were there any violations of the Surveillance Technology Policy or Surveillance Impact Report, reported through community members, non-privileged internal audits, or through other means in the last year?
No

12.4 Has your department conducted any internal audits of the technology?
No

Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests ▾



13.1 Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology?
Yes

13.2 How many public records requests have been made regarding this surveillance technology?
The department has received approximately 4000 requests for surveillance footage. For example, requestors frequently refer to body worn cameras as surveillance footage or make generalized requests for surveillance footage. The department is unable to provide an accurate count of requests only for non-city entity cameras without searching every request for surveillance footage.

13.3 Please summarize what has been requested via public records requests, including the general type of information requested and disclosed, as well as the number or requests for each general type of information.
See 13.2. Requestors typically do not use terminology specific to non-city entity surveillance footage. Therefore, the department does not track by that particular type of request.

Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology ▾



14.1 List the number of FTE (new & existing).

N/A. These are cameras owned and operated by private entities or individuals, not SFPD or other city departments. The requests for historical footage or live monitoring are part of officer's daily job duties and not the responsibility of a specific number of officers. This is in alignment with the STP/SIR.

14.2 Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025?

No

14.15 Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025:

No

14.28 What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2024-2025?

N/A

14.29 Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No

14.31 Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No