

DO 6.16 - SFPD Policy Working Group Recommendations and Discussion Tracking as of 9/30/2024

#	Working Group Recommendations	Page Number	Meeting Date	SFPD Response	SFPD Explanation	Open/Closed
R1	In response to the "SFPD Community Policy Working Groups Overview," the Police Commission representative made the following comments to all working group members: - Non-members who can provide useful information may be invited as guest speakers, but this must be arranged in advance through the working group facilitator. - "Replying all" to emails between working group members may constitute a meeting, potentially violating open meeting laws. If a working group member wants to share specific documents, they should do so in advance through the working group facilitator to ensure everyone receives them before the next meeting. - The 120-business day completion timeline for a given working group is flexible. An extension can be requested if more meetings are needed to complete the work and robust discussions are ongoing. - Working Group members, including officers, can influence policy outside the working group process. The commission takes public comments, and concerns regarding DOs or recommendations made in the WG can be addressed at the commission level once the DO is agendaized for discussion.	N/A	9/17/24		The Department values the insights shared by the Police Commission representative during the meeting. Additionally, it is important to note that after the working group process concludes, the draft DO is posted on the Department's website for 30 business days, allowing the public, including working group members to provide additional feedback.	Open
R2	A working group member asked if a redlined version of the Stage I draft for DO 6.16 could be provided.	N/A	9/17/24	Recommendation has been completely included in draft DO	The Department will provide a redlined version of the Stage I draft for DO 6.16 before the next meeting on Thursday, October 3, 2024.	Open
R3	A working group member asked if, in the event they cannot attend a meeting, another colleague from their organization could attend in their place.	N/A	9/17/24	Administrative Question and Answer not for inclusion in DO	The working group facilitator stated that a member can send a designee in their absence, provided that each organization has only one vote on matters requiring a vote in the meeting.	Open
R4	A working group member asked whether the Stage I draft includes procedures for officers working at the airport, given that trafficking, domestic violence, and sexual assaults are significant issues there, and officers at the airport are usually exempt from such procedures.	N/A	9/17/24	Recommendation requires further discussion/analysis	The Department has reinstated the language from the currently active version into draft DO 6.16: "Airport Bureau members shall follow Airport Bureau General Orders and San Mateo County protocols."	Open